

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,320	12/30/2003		Fernando Gonzalez	03-5421	8011
39820	7590	12/17/2004		EXAMINER	
EDWARD 963 TRAIL		NGSTON, PA	ARK, DARREN W		
NAPLES, F				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ				3643	

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/748,320	GONZALEZ, FERNANDO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Darren W. Ark	3643					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 No	ovember 2004.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-11 is/are pending in the app	lication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hochberger 5,533,295.

Hochberger discloses a hollow holder (15 or 19 of PVC tubing) with open first (31) and second (29) ends; a base pole (17) with a second pointed end (29) and being hollow (PVC tubing); means for attaching (39, 41; also see Fig. 2) the base pole first end (27, 35 are at the first end of the base pole 17) to a predetermined location between the holder first end (31) and second end (29) at a perpendicular angle (angle formed between outer legs 15, 19 & inner leg 17 is about 90 degrees; see col. 3, lines 17-21).

In regard to claim 5, Hochberger discloses the means for attaching being glue (neck portions 39 of the outer legs 15, 19 are each part of a T-shaped connector 41 which slides onto the outer leg and is secured thereto by a suitable adhesive or cement; see col. 3, lines 31-34).

In regard to claim 7, Hochberger discloses means for attaching is via an attachment piece (41, 39) fixably secured to the holder between the holder ends (via adhesive or cement), the piece being cylindrically shaped (41 has cylindrical portions)

and hollow (41 and 39 are hollow), and the attachment piece having a diameter larger than the base pole diameter (if 41 can fit over 15 or 19, then it can fit over 17).

In regard to claim 11, Hochberger discloses placing the attachment piece diameter (diameter of 39) on the base pole first end (at threaded part of 37).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hochberger 5,533,295.

Hochberger discloses the means for attaching being adhesive or cement, but does not disclose the means for attaching being tape. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to utilize tape to hold the parts together, since applicant has not disclosed that by doing so produces any unexpected results or is critical to the design, and it appears that the device of Hochberger would perform equally as well by doing so and because tape can be easily removed and reapplied as necessary to allow disassembly of the device.

Art Unit: 3643

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on (703) 308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Darren W. Ark
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3643

DWA